Previous references.
L.R. 39 of 1889-90

39
File No. ~~XX~~
of 94-95

1894-95
~~190~~
(Land Revenue)
(~~Miscellaneous~~)

Later references.
Rev. Mis. 46 of 1898-99

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Countermarked.

Serial Nos. 1–8

PART B ~~FOR~~

SUBJECT.

Amount paid by Byata Rao one of the Vrittidars of Jodi Benakankere Village Tiptur Taluk on behalf of other Vrittidars towards arrears ~~of~~ to be refunded to him.

Issued 9/11

1. From the D.C. Tumkur No. 75 of the 19.7.94
Submits a report in connection with the petition of Byata Rao Jodidar of Banakankere in the Tiptur Taluk anent the collection of Jodi due from the other vrittidars.
2. From Byata Rao petition of the 13.8.94
Prays that the sale of the vrittis for arrears of revenue be held in abeyance pending disposal of his case.
3. To the petitioner Byata Rao Endt No. 497 of the 14.8.94
Declines to stay the sale advertised in the Gazette and informs him that he might pay the arrears of revenue due and get the sale stopped under Sections 77 and 175 of the L.R.C.

G. R. S. 58.

P.T.O.

Previous references.
L.R. 39 of 1884-85

39
File No. XX
of 94-95

1894-95

~~190~~
(Land Revenue)
(Miscellaneous)

Later references.
Rev. Mis. 46 of 1898-99

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Countermarked

Serial Nos. 1—8

PART B ~~FOR~~

SUBJECT.

Amount paid by Byata Rao one of the Inittidars of Godi Benakankere village Dipture Taluk on behalf of other Inittidars towards arrears & to be refunded to him.

1. From the D.C. Dumka No. 75 of the 19.7.94
Submits a report in connection with the petition of Byata Rao Godidar of Benakankere in the Dipture Taluk anent the collection of Godi due from the other Inittidars.
2. From Byata Rao petition dt the 3.8.94
Prays that the sale of the Inittis for arrears of revenue be held in abeyance pending disposal of his case.
3. To the petitioner Byata Rao Endt No 497 of the 4.8.94
Declines to stay the sale advertised in the gazette and informs him that he might pay the arrears of revenue due and get the sale notified under sections 77 and 78 of the L.R.C.

P.T.O.

G. R. S. 58.

Copy of Letter from Mr. Gladstone
to Mr. Bright

Copy of a letter which was sent from Hawarden to Manchester on account of arrangements for the Irish Home Rule Question. To prevent forgeries. Mr. Gladstone is ready to hand it and certain other Letters who had entered a power of attorney to his sons Herbert the said document on the 26th Sept. 1893 and a Letter stating that out of the amount produced by him, £5,000 - 3 deep in Portsmouth shares of the Liverpool Corporation shares to be issued to credit of Govt. due to Ireland £15,000,000 produced by the Trade to

arrears due by the Executors
Testators to be in deficit
proceedings instituted against
the latter under Sec 41 Cl. 10
of the Revenue Duties Act, 78
of the Land Revenue Code, and
there on receipt of the orders
of Govt. upon a report to be
submitted to them, the accounts
in deficit may be realised &
Govt. and ~~[illegible]~~ the
~~[illegible]~~ of the
Revenue Code conferred on them
Executors further paid on the
following day a sum of Rs.
146-12-6 due on account of
interest & duties &c. These
sums were credited to Govt.

On the 2nd Dec. 1904 the
Rep. presented a Com. of the 17
Comm: praying for proceedings
against the defaulting Executors
but as the sum still due
the latter should themselves
the amount and that such

not applicable as
Subd: contemplates
has not been effected

No action took
place under this
Section

And a certificate of Sec. 77 would be furnished on application to the Secretary under Sec. 98 and directed either as assistance should be given to the Secretary, whereupon the Secretary would issue notice of such application to the Court and the Court would within a reasonable time fix a day for hearing the application of Sec. 97 and the Secretary would be entitled to appear before the Court and to show cause why such application should not be granted. The Secretary would not be entitled to appear before the Court and to show cause why such application should not be granted.

Serial 1

(6) 1

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894. 95 831

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Subd & Guide C
25/7

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt
of Mysore.

Dated Tumkur, 5th July 1894

Despatched 13 do

Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No. 75.
No. 1

Government Order No.
38-66
Ret 54 of the 2nd instant.

—a—

Submits a report in
connection with the petition of
Coyata Ramaiah of Banakal
Kere in the Tiptur Taluk and
the Collection of good diegam
the other petitioners

Ret No
21/7/94

no stamp affixed

Petition filed by Mr Venkatarama Rao 11/8/94

(7)

(7)

497

To



The Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

Rev: Peti: petition No. 6 of 94-5

Petitioner :— Byatta Rao, residing at Kanakur, Yelburga Taluk.

The Petitioner above named begs to state as follows:—

1. That your Petitioner presented a petition to the Govt. on the 9th of May 94 appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Dumkur.

2. That on a reminder presented on the 29th June 1894, the accompanying endorsement was given to him

3. That the Deputy Commissioner gave no orders to the Petr, but verbally informed him that the papers have been submitted by him to Govt for orders.

4. That the Sale of the Vritties has been ordered by the Dy Comr to take place

No 7.

Encls: 2

Tinnevelly District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tinnevelly 18th July 1894

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No 38-88 Pub 54 dated 2nd instant (received here on the 4th instant) directing me to forward under sections 97 and 98 of the Revenue Code, an account of the amount of Jodi due from his Poochavaram on the Jodi village of Bonakkanoor here in the Tipkoor Taluk, I beg to state as follows.

2. The Jodi amount due on the said Jodi village of Bonakkanoor having fallen into arrear in 1892-93, the petitioner Gopala Rai, who is one of the Jodidars, to save the village from forfeiture, paid up the whole of the amount due on it. As the

(10)

(11)

14-8-94 : 497.

Chief Secretary

received under section 77 of the Revenue
Code, and entitled the Treasurer to
bring this petition) thereupon
wanted me to levy for him the
amount due from his co-sharers, or
give over the management of the
village to him. I had no power to
do either. I therefore gave an endorse-
-ment according to which I did
as provided in the said section 77. I
could only give him such aid for
the recovery of the amount due from
his co-sharers as might legally be
given. The Judge did not think I could
have given him and to have acted
under section 77 and 78 of the Revenue
Code, and enforced payment but the
application of the petitioner had not
as provided in section 111 been made
within the Revenue year the amount
of which it was in arrears. It was made

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

189

(12)

No. 982

Name of Petitioner. Boyala Ven. Venkatarao of Jodi Benkankere Village Kipalu Village. Taluk, represented by Taluk. Mr D Venkatramayya advocate

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 22-9-94

Date of Receipt. 1-10-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 1

ABSTRACT.

This appl endorsement no 3216 dated 11/14 August 94, stating that the Deputy Com^r was not empowered under Sec 97 of the Land Rev. Code to give relief to Petitioner in collecting the arrears of revenue

With reference to the above Petitioner states, that the Dy. Com^r was not in a position to afford relief to Petr, he had no right to appropriate the amount Rs 219/ deposited

(11)

Submitted with references. (The order in Council order in the case is recorded on the Dfsm^r report No 75 of 18-7-94.)

- request
- is made to
- Instructions might
- in lieu of being
- to the first dues

AH
21/10

Case was heard in Council on 1st Nov 94 when Venkatramayya called upon to produce a letter from which his Client referred to the Amildar when paying the Arrears of Jodi in question and he undertook to produce an Authenticated Copy of the order recorded above which need not be issued therefore now.

by him ~~before~~ the Amildar
Taluka Taluk on 26th & 27th Sept 93
and prays therefore for the refund
of the above amount together with
the interest thereon.

(10)

on the 8th February, 1894, that is seven
months after the year of grace had
elapsed on the 31st June, 93. Under
these circumstances I beg to be informed if I may take action in the
matter now.

3. The enclosures of the letter
under reply accompany for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Lumbar District

VI That the Amildar and Deputy Commissioner had no right to appropriate the amount deposited by Petitioner if they were not in a position to afford relief to the Petitioner in the manner prayed for by him in his petition.

(4)

VII That the endorsement No 3216 issued to the Peter leaves the Petitioner absolutely without a remedy unless he files a suit against the Deputy Commissioner for the recovery of the amount deposited by him.

VIII That if the Section 97 of the Land Revenue Code does not empower the Deputy Commissioner to give relief to the Petitioner, he prays that the Government may be pleased to order the refund of the amount deposited by him together with interest thereon

Bangalore
22nd September 1894

D Venkatarangaiya
Advocate for Petitioner

(General Serial No. 32.)

189

No. 477

Name of Petitioner. Vyalla Rao

Village. Kanakur

Taluk. Gooty

District. Anantapur

Date of Arzi. 11-8-74

Date of Receipt. 13-8-74

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 2.

ABSTRACT.

Reminder to his former petitions. Petitioner encloses an engagement given by him before this Office and also a petition of the Inspector General in which the sale of the untilled lands has been advertised to take place on the 13th instant. Prays that the sale of the untilled lands may be held in abeyance during disposal of the case

Box Petn 13/11/94 (13) 15

1234R.
14/11/94

2o

(16) The Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

Revenue Review petition No. of 1894-5

Petitioner :— Byata Rao, residing at Kana
-tur, Debbaigattada Sammat,
Tiptur Taluk.

In connection with the Review petition
dated the 22d. day of September 1894, the
Petr. begs to produce the following documen
Viz :—

1. Petition addressed to the Tallook (tahs
-rij, Tiptur, dy 26th Sept. 1893 by the Petitio
(ie Certified Copy).

2. Certified Copy of the Ittilay written by
said Amildar to the Deputy Comr. of Tumku
dy 5-10-93.

3. Receipt dy 26th Sept. 1893 granted to the
by the Amildar for Rs 2064-7-2.

4. Petition & Endorsement thereon dy 27.9.
for having received Rs 146-12-a by the Tal

5. Receipt granted to the Petr. by the Hea
Gumasta of the Tiptur Taluk dy 28.9.9
for Rs 0 4-0.

Only five documents

Bangalore
12th Jan. 1895

D Venkatarama
Adv. for Petr

place on the 13th Instant (The Gazette
Extract is herewith filed)

5. That your Petr has paid a very large
sum of Money not due by him after
borrowing elsewhere.

6. That the Petr would be ruined if
the sale takes place, as advertised
by the Bailiff Court.

For these reasons the Petr prays
that pending the disposal of his petition
the sale ordered to take place on the
13th Instant may be postponed.

Petr shall ever pray

Bangalore
11th Aug 94

Advocate

D V Muthuramaiya
Advr for Petr

Serial 9

9

14-6-94

3216.

14

15th.

(19)

Revenue Rules & Section 78
of the Land Rev. Code, and
the grant to him of the
powers referred in Sec. 99
of the Code. Clause (c)
of Sec. 41 of the Rev. Rules
was not applicable to the
case as the sub division
of lands contemplated there
had not been effected, and
no action took place under
Section 78 of the Rev. Code
as the payment and the
application referred to were
not made within the
~~the~~ revenue year in which
the arrears became payable
The payment of Rs. 1185-5-11
which was thus subject to
impracticable conditions,
should not have been accepted

If the Petitioner is
~~really and truly willing~~ ~~not been willing~~ to have the
said amount appropriated
towards the arrears of Jodi
due for the village, the remedy
is simple. The amount,

(a matter which the Dep. Comr. should forthwith ascertain definitely & once for all)

together with the proportional
amount of interest thereon,
included in the sum of
Rs. 146-12-0 paid by Petitioner
on 27th Sept. 1893, should be at once
refunded to him; and steps taken ~~forthwith~~
forthwith to proceed
against the whole of the village
for the recovery of the arrears,



The Deputy Commr will
~~intimate to the Petitioner~~ intimate
to Petitioner what the consequence
of the repayment prayed for
will be, and will, if he insists
on having the money back,
submit a refund bill to Govt,
and issue, since, a notice of demand
to the persons interested. If
the notice is not complied with
within such time as may be
fixed under Sec. 35 of the Rev.
Rules, the Deputy Commr may
declare the village to be forfeited
to Govt and proceed to sell it
in due course.

firstly by the Service of
a notice of Demand under
Rule X X X V of the Land
Rev. Rules, of the refunded
amount together with interest
from date of repayment &
Cost & all further proceedings
& Secondly, after 15 days
of the Service of such
notice of Demand, by
forfeiture & Sale of the
Village under S. 159 of the
L. R. Code — at
such a Sale the Dep. Commr
will, in the absence of
higher bids knock
down the Village to
the Govt for the full
Amount of arrears
with interest & Cost of
proceedings.

The Dep. Commr must,
all needless delay.

21-11-94

21/11/94

MYSORE REVENUE DEPARTMENT
G.O.No. 982 R
DATE 1-10-94

ONE RUPEE

12

Before the Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

Revenue This petition is of 94-95

Petitioner { Byata Nar, Vrittidar of Jodi Benkanakere Village, Tipturu Taluq }

The Petitioner begs to State as follows:—

I That the Petitioner presented a petition to this office on 20th March 1894

II That order No 351-88/54 dated 2nd July 1894 was passed on his petition in which the Petitioner was directed to go to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur,

III That the Deputy Commissioner without reference to the nature of the Complaint of the Petitioner seems to have submitted a report, (and thereupon) the Petitioner was given an endorsement No 3216 dated 11th August 1894 on a perusal of Deputy Commissioner's report,

IV That the Petitioner was not heard before the said endorsement was given to him.

V That the Petitioner deposited Rs 2191 with the Amildar of Tipturu on 26th and 27th September 1893 with a petition.

Serial 6

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1894

14

No. 1234

Name of Petitioner. Gopalrao - municipal -

Village. Kirwadi

Taluk. Sirsadi

District. Dharwar

Date of Arzi. 12 - 11 - 94

Date of Receipt. 14 - 11 - 94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 5

ABSTRACT.

In connection with the Karnatak petition of 22 - 9 - 94. Requests certain alterations.

16/11.

(83)

Sec: 99 of the Code. Clause
10 of Sec 41 of the Revenue
Rules was not applicable
to the case, as the Sub-divisi
of lands contemplated thereu
had not been effected, and n
action took place under
Section 78 of the Revenue Code
as the payment and the
application referred to were
not made within the revenu
year in which the arrears
became payable. The paym
of Rs 1185-5-11, which was
thus subject to impracticable
conditions should not have
been accepted

2. If the Petitioner is really
unwilling (a matter which
the Deputy Commr should
forthwith ascertain definitely
and once for all) to have
the said amount appropriate
towards the arrears of Jodi
due for the village, the remedy
is simple. The amount
together with the proportiona
amount of interest
thereon included in the

sum of Rs 146-12-- paid by
Petitioner on 27th September 1893
should be refunded to him
at once and steps taken
forthwith to proceed against
the Whole of the village for the
recovery of the arrears, firstly
by the Service of a Notice of
Demand under Rule XXXV
of the Land Revenue Rules, of the
refunded amount together
with interest from date of
refund and cost of all further
processes, and Secondly, after
15 days of the Service of such
notice of Demand, by forfeiture
and sale of the village under
Section 159 of the Land Rev: Code.
At such a sale, the Deputy
Commr will, in the absence
of higher bids, knock down the
village to the Government
for the full amount of arrears
due with interest and cost of
processes.

3. The Deputy Commr must
avoid all needless delay

(24)

Re draft
Chief Secy
2.0
The Deputy Commr Bannu
Dis to

Proceedings of the Govt. of S. S.
the Municipality of Singapore

Read -

(1) Letter No. 75 dated 18th July 1894 from the Resident Councillor Province District, stating with reference to Govt. order No $\frac{381-88}{1.54}$ dated 2nd Jan., that the application of Byata Ram - one of the Musicians of Bukit Timah for aid for the recovery of the proportionate amount of the Jodi of the village payable by certain co-sharers was not made within the revenue year in which the arrears became payable

(2) Endorsement No 3216 of 11th Augt 1894 issued to Byata Ram.

(3) Petition No 385 dated 2nd Sept. 1894 from Byata Ram urging that if the Govt. was not in a position to afford the assistance sought in his application of the

(25)

Two drafts sided for approval

26th Sept., 893 to the Bailiff of Jaffna, the amount of arrears paid by him on behalf of the Co-Sharers in respect of which the application was made should not have been credited to Government and praying for refund of the same with interest.

Order.

The Petitioner, in claiming the amount of arrears due, vide Rs. 2064-7-2, prayed, in an application presented thereunto, that Rs. 859-1-3, being the proportionate share of the arrears, due by such of the Petitioners as were represented by him, might be credited to Government, and that the balance, Rs. 1185-5-11, which was payable by the other Petitioners, be held in abeyance, pending proceedings against the latter under Sec. 41 cl. 10 of the

Serial 7 16

Proceedings of the Govt of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Read:-

(1) Letter No 75 dated 13th July 1874 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur stating with reference to Govt order No $\frac{387-88}{P\,54}$ dated 2nd Idem, that the application of Byala Row one of the Vrittidars of Benaganhalli for aid for the recovery of the proportionate amounts of the Jodi of the village payable by certain co-sharers, was not made within the revenue year in which the arrears became payable.

(2) Endorsement No 3216 of 11th August 1874 passed to Byala Row

(3) Petition No 732 dated 22d September 1874 from Byala Row urging that if the Deputy Commissioner was not in a position to afford the assistance sought in his application of the 26th September 1873 to the

Amildar of Sipkar, the amount of arrears paid by him on behalf of the co-sharers in respect of whom the application was made should not have been credited to Government, and praying for refund of the same with interest

Order No. 10 55.12 / Pet. No. 58-14 dt. 21-11-23.

The Petitioner, in tendering the amount of arrears due, viz. Rs 20 4-7-2 prayed in an application presented therewith, that Rs 859-1-3, being the proportionate share of the arrears, due by such of the Khatedars as were represented by him, might be credited to Government, and that the balance, Rs 1135-5-11, which was payable by the other Khatedars, be kept in deposit, pending proceedings against the latter under Section 41 cl 10 of the Revenue Rules and Section 73 of the Land Revenue Code, and the grant to him of the powers referred in

No.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

189

Endorsement granted to Byrata Rau, Patel Kear of Jodi Kadakere village, Tipturu Taluq - (in the Q. Nelamangala) and 123 others

in reply to his Petition, Registered No. 982 dated 22 September 1894

12-11-94 praying for the refund of the amount paid by them on behalf of the Coolhirers

upon the Deputy Commissioner's

Petitioner is informed that been directed to refund to him Rs 1185-5-11 with the proportionate amount of interest thereon included in the sum of Rs 146-12- paid by Petr on 27 Sep 1893 and to proceed against the whole of the village for the recovery of the arrears, if he is really unwilling to have the said Amount appropriated towards the arrears of Jodi due from the village.

Enclosures are returned

22/11

"By Order",

Chief Secretary.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore—6-3-94.—2000.

Submitted

If the order proposed is approved, the one recorded on page 982 (No 12 in the file) may be Cancelled.

L.C. J 22/11



No. 982 & 1234

Scale E 24

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

189

Endorsement granted to Gopala Row, Pattidar of Jori Kenkanhere village, Sapta Saints (Hegr Sri D. Venkatramiah)

& 1234

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 982 dated 22d Septr and 12th Novr /94 respectively, praying for the refund of the amount paid by him on behalf of his co-sharers

Petitioner is ~~informed that~~ referred to the Deputy Commr to whom instructions have been issued for granting the refund applied for on certain conditions

2 Enclosures are returned

Draft

"By Order"

Chief Secretary

M. G. C. P. Bangalore—6-3-94.—2000.

2

(45)

ನೆನಪಾಲ
೧೪೭
1234.

ಈ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆಯುವಾಗ ನಾನು ಬಹಳ ಸಂತೋಷದಿಂದ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ನಾನು ಬಹಳ ದಿನಗಳಿಂದ ಕಾಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಸಂತೋಷದ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ನಿಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಇದೇ ಮೊದಲ ಅವಕಾಶ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದೆ. ಆ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಏನೆಂದರೆ ನಾನು ಮತ್ತೆ ತಂದೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಈ ಸಂತೋಷದ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ನಿಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಇಷ್ಟು ದಿನ ಕಾಯಬೇಕಾಯಿತು. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಈ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ಮೊದಲು ನಾನು ಯಾರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೊನೆಗೆ ಈ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ಮೊದಲು ನಿಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದೆ. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ನೀವು ನನ್ನ ಜೀವನದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿ. ನಾನು ಈ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ಮೊದಲು ನಿಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದರೆ ನೀವು ಬಹಳ ಸಂತೋಷಪಡುತ್ತೀರಿ ಎಂದು ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಆದರೆ ನಾನು ಈ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ಮೊದಲು ನಿಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದರೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಹೆಂಡತಿ ಬಹಳ ಬೇಸರಪಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾಳೆ ಎಂದು ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಈ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ಮೊದಲು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಹೆಂಡತಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಅವಳು ಬಹಳ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟಳು. ಆಮೇಲೆ ನಾನು ಈ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ನಿಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ನೀವು ಬಹಳ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟಿರಿ. ಆಮೇಲೆ ನಾನು ಈ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ನಮ್ಮ ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಬಹಳ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟರು. ಆಮೇಲೆ ನಾನು ಈ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಬಹಳ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟರು. ಆಮೇಲೆ ನಾನು ಈ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ನಮ್ಮ ಊರಿನವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಬಹಳ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟರು. ಆಮೇಲೆ ನಾನು ಈ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ನಮ್ಮ ದೇಶದವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಬಹಳ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟರು. ಆಮೇಲೆ ನಾನು ಈ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಪಂಚದವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಬಹಳ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟರು. ಆಮೇಲೆ ನಾನು ಈ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ಬ್ರಹ್ಮಾಂಡದವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಬಹಳ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟರು. ಆಮೇಲೆ ನಾನು ಈ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ದೇವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ದೇವರು ಬಹಳ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟರು. ಆಮೇಲೆ ನಾನು ಈ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ನನಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ನಾನು ಬಹಳ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟೆ.

ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಸಹಿ 23/11/94

ಸಹಿ